

Dual Supergravity in D=10, N=1 Superspace with Tree-Level Superstring Corrections

M.V.Terentjev¹

Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP)
Moscow, 117259, Russia

Abstract

The dual version of the D=10 N=1 supergravity (SUGRA) is considered in the superspace approach. The superstring (anomaly compensating) corrections are described by the 3-form superfield A_{abc} . The complete set of dynamical equations for the A -field and for physical fields of the theory are presented. The solution of the A -field equations as a finite order polynomial in terms of curvature and graviphoton superfields is given. It makes possible to incorporate some of the superstring corrections in the dual supergravity in the explicit and closed form.

1. INTRODUCTION

It was shown by Gates and Nishino [1] that the "dual" version of the D=10, N=1 supergravity (IB-type theory) is an alternative to the "usual" version (type IA) not only as the field theory, but also as a classical background of the D=10, N=1 superstring theory. First, these two versions (IA and IB) were considered by Chamseddine [2]. Interaction with matter was constructed in [3], [4] (for IA theory) and in [5] (for IB theory).

However, both the IA and IB versions, considered as an effective theory of massless modes of the type I (heterotic) superstring, can not be described by the lagrangian of ref's [2], [3]-[5]. That is because these supergravity versions are anomalous, while the heterotic string theory is not.

The Green-Schwarz [6] mechanism was invented for cancellation of the supergravity anomalies. It was implemented originally to the IA-theory, but

¹E-mail address: TERENCE@vxitep.itep.msk.su

it works also in the IB theory (cf. [5]). According to [6], the Lorentz-type Chern-Symons terms are needed to compensate anomalies. Such terms are added to the graviphoton field-strength in the IA theory, and (in some approximations) immediately to the lagrangian as special interaction terms in the IB theory. After this modification the IA and IB supergravities are no longer supersymmetric. Restoration of supersymmetry is an important long standing problem.

The implicit solution of the supersymmetrization problem for some of the anomaly compensating terms in the IA theory was presented in the refs. [7],[8]. (See ref.[9], where the present state of the problem is described and more detailed list of references is given). The explicit solution may be obtained from the results of [7], [8] as an infinite series in the string slope parameter. In general, this series contains all positive integer powers of the graviphoton field-strength and the curvature tensor.

We demonstrate in this paper, that the situation is different and more simple in the IB ("dual") version of supergravity. The supersymmetrization may be achieved by adding a finite number of terms, which are powers of the graviphoton (7-form) field-strength and the curvature tensor.² This result provides the explicit solution of supersymmetrization problem in the dual supergravity, obtained in the same approximations as in the ref's [7],[8]. Some preliminary results were obtained in the refs.[11] - [14]. Our derivation here is based on the remarks made by D'Auria and Fre [15]. We use also general results, obtained in [8].

We consider the case of pure supergravity in the D=10, N=1 superspace. (The incorporation of matter degrees of freedom is the standard procedure). This work is based on the previous paper [16], where dynamical equations for superstring corrections were described using the simple parametrization of Bianchi Identities (BI's), introduced by Nishino [17]. Our notations correspond in general to that of ref. [16] (they are described in [8], [13], [14]), but there are small differences which are self-evident.

2. SOLUTION OF TORSION BIANCHI IDENTITIES

²The IA and IB supergravities are completely equivalent in the zero order in the string coupling constant, i.e. in the case, where Green-Schwarz mechanism is "switched off". In the general case, when powers H^n , $n \geq 3$ of the graviphoton field are included, these theories may be different. The possible connection between them is discussed in [10], where one may find other references on the subject.

First, we show, that all the supergravity equations of motion (e.m.) in superspace follow from the supertorsion BI's. The only difference between usual (type IA) and "dual" (IB) versions is in the connection between components of supertorsion and graviphoton superfields.

The standard set of torsion BI's is:

$$D_{[A}T_{BC)}^D + T_{[AB}{}^Q T_{QC)}^D + R_{[ABC)}^D = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Here the summation Q -index is not included in the symmetrization procedure. Covariant derivatives D_A obey the commutation (anticommutation) relations:

$$(D_A D_B - (-1)^{ab} D_B D_A) = -T_{AB}{}^Q D_Q + R_{ABcd} M^{cd} \quad (2.2)$$

where T_{AB}^C is the torsion superfield, R_{ABC}^D is the supercurvature, M^{cd} is the generator of Lorentz transformations: $(M^{cd})_\alpha{}^\beta = \frac{1}{4}(\Gamma^{cd})_\alpha{}^\beta$ in the spinorial representation, $R_{AB\alpha}{}^\beta = \frac{1}{4}R_{ABcd}(\Gamma^{cd})_\alpha{}^\beta$.

We use the constraints [17]:

$$T_{\alpha\beta}{}^c = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^c, \quad T_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma = T_{\beta b}^c = 0, \quad T_{a\beta}^\gamma = (\hat{X}\Gamma_a)_\beta{}^\gamma, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\hat{X} \equiv X_{abc}\Gamma^{abc}$. This parametrization provides the complete solution of the BI's (2.1) in terms of T_{ab}^c and T_{ab}^γ -components.

It follows from (2.1):

$$X_{abc} = \frac{1}{72} T_{abc}, \quad D T_{abc} = -\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{abc}{}^{ij} T_{ij}, \quad D^c T_{abc} = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

where $T_{abc} \equiv T_{ab}^d \eta_{dc}$ is the completely antisymmetric tensor. Furthermore:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ab}\Gamma^{ab} &= 0, \quad D T_{ab} = D\Gamma_{[a}L_{b]} = 0, \\ D\Gamma_{[ab}T_{cd]} &= \frac{16}{3} D_{[a}T_{bcd]} + \frac{20}{3} T_{[abcd]}^2, \\ D\Gamma_{[abc}L_{d]} &= -8 D_{[a}T_{bcd]} - \frac{40}{3} T_{[abcd]}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where $L_a \equiv T_{ab}\Gamma^b$, $L_a \Gamma^a = 0$. We do not write spinorial indices explicitly in the cases when their position may be reconstructed unambiguously. Here and below we use the notations:

$$TA = T_{ijk}A^{ijk}, \quad (TA)_{ab} = T_{aij}A_b^{ij}, \quad (TA)_{abcd} = T_{abj}A_{cd}^j \quad (2.6)$$

where A_{abc} is an arbitrary 3-form superfield, $T^2 = TT$.

The components of the curvature tensor are:

$$R_{\alpha\beta ab} = -\frac{5}{6}T_{abc}\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^c - \frac{1}{36}T_{ijk}(\Gamma^{ijk}_{ab})_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$R_{abc} = -2T_{a[b}\Gamma_{c]} + \frac{3}{2}L_{[a}\Gamma_{bc]}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$R_{ab}{}^{cd} = -\frac{1}{8}D\Gamma^{cd}T_{ab} - \frac{1}{3}D_{[a}T_{b]}{}^{cd} - \frac{1}{108}\delta_{[ab]}^{cd}T^2 + \frac{1}{18}\delta_{[a}^{[c}(T^2)^{d]}_{b]} - \frac{1}{6}(T^2)_{ab}{}^{cd} \quad (2.9)$$

In particular:

$$R_{[abc]d} = -D_{[a}T_{bc]d} - T_{[abc]d}^2, \quad R_{[ab]} = 0, \quad R = -\frac{1}{3}T^2, \quad (2.10)$$

$$R_{ab} = -\frac{1}{8}D\Gamma_{(a}L_{b)} - \frac{1}{36}T^2\eta_{ab} - \frac{1}{18}T_{ab}^2, \quad (2.11)$$

where $R_{ab} \equiv R_{acb}{}^c$ is the Ricci tensor, $R \equiv R_{ab}\eta^{ab}$ is the curvature scalar, $(R_{abc})_\beta \equiv R_{\beta abc}$.

Now we introduce the dilaton ϕ , and define the dilatino χ_α -field by:

$$\chi \equiv D\phi \quad (2.12)$$

The most general expression for the dilatino field spinorial derivative may be taken in the form:

$$D_\alpha\chi_\beta = -\frac{1}{2}\hat{D}_{\alpha\beta}\phi + (-\frac{1}{36}\phi T_{abc} + A_{abc})\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{abc}, \quad (2.13)$$

where $\hat{D} \equiv D_a\Gamma^a$, but A_{abc} is a new superfield.

The D=10 supergravity multiplet contains $\phi|$, $\chi|$ -fields ($\phi|$ is the first component of ϕ - superfield, etc.), gravitino $\psi_m^\gamma|$ field (note, that $T_{mn}^\gamma = D_{[m}\psi_n^\gamma|$), graviton $E_m^a|$ and graviphoton 3-form field $H_{abc}|$ or 7-form field

$N_{a_1 \dots a_7}$ in the dual supergravity, which are related to T_{abc} (see below). All the equations of motion for these fields follow from (2.1), (2.2) and (2.13) if the constraints (2.3) are imposed and the subsequent formulas (2.4) - (2.11) are used.

The gravitino e.m. may be derived, calculating the quantity $(D\Gamma_a D)\chi_\beta$ with the help of (2.2) and (2.13). It takes the form:

$$Q_a \equiv \phi L_a - D_a \chi - \frac{1}{36} \Gamma_a \hat{T} \chi - \frac{1}{24} \hat{T} \Gamma_a \chi + \frac{1}{42} \Gamma_a \Gamma^{ijk} D A_{ijk} + \frac{1}{7} \Gamma^{ijk} \Gamma_a D A_{ijk} = 0, \quad (2.14)$$

where $\hat{T} \equiv T_{abc} \Gamma^{abc}$. The dilatino e.m. follows immediately from the projection $\Gamma^a Q_a = 0$:

$$\hat{D} \chi + \frac{1}{9} \hat{T} \chi + \frac{1}{3} \Gamma^{ijk} D A_{ijk} = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

The e.m. for the dilaton field follows from the explicit calculation of the spinorial derivative $D\Gamma^a Q_a = 0$. The result is:

$$D_a^2 \phi + \frac{1}{18} \phi T^2 - 2 T A - \frac{1}{24} D \Gamma^{ijk} D A_{ijk} = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

The graviton e.m. follows from (2.11) if one calculates the corresponding spinorial derivative $D\Gamma_{(a} L_{b)}$ from the gravitino e.m. The result is:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi R_{ab} = & -L_{(a} \Gamma_{b)} \chi - \frac{1}{36} \phi \eta_{ab} T^2 + D_{(a} D_{b)} \phi - \\ & - 2 T_{(a} A_{b)} + \frac{3}{28} D \Gamma^{ij}{}_{(a} D A_{b)ij} - \frac{5}{336} \eta_{ab} D \Gamma^{ijk} D A_{ijk} \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

The e.m. $D_{[a} T_{bcd]} = \dots$ and the similar equation for A_{abc} -field may be derived if one combines the 210 IR projections $D\Gamma_{[abc} Q_{d]} = 0$ and $D\Gamma_{abcd}{}^j Q_j = 0$. One obtains in this way:

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{[a} (\phi T_{bcd]} + \frac{3}{2} T_{[ab} \Gamma_{cd]} \chi + \frac{3}{2} \phi T_{[abcd]} + \\ & + \frac{1}{12} (T \epsilon A)_{abcd} + 6 (T A)_{[abcd]} + \frac{3}{4} D \Gamma_{[ab}{}^j D A_{cd]j} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

and:

$$D_{[a} A_{bcd]} + 2 (T A)_{[abcd]} + \frac{1}{360} (T \epsilon A)_{abcd} -$$

$$-\frac{1}{16 \cdot 60} D\Gamma_{abcd}{}^{ijk} D A_{ijk} + \frac{1}{16} D\Gamma_{[ab}{}^i D A_{cd]i} = 0, \quad (2.19)$$

where $(T\epsilon A)_{abcd} \equiv T_{ijk}\epsilon_{abcd}{}^{ijkmns}A_{mns}$.

One more equation for the A_{abc} -field follows from the 45 IR projection $D\Gamma_{[a}Q_{b]} = 0$:

$$D\Gamma_{[a}{}^{ij} D A_{b]ij} + 56 D^j A_{jab} - \frac{64}{3}(TA)_{[ab]} = 0 \quad (2.20)$$

There are several possible consistency checks of the presented equations. For example, one may derive the graviton e.m. (2.17) considering the projection $D\Gamma_{(a}Q_{b)} = 0$, etc.

Eventually, we may derive one more equation, considering the 1200 IR projection of the quantity $D_\alpha D_\beta \chi_\gamma$. We obtain [16]:

$$(D_\alpha A_{abc})^{(1200)} = 0 \quad (2.21)$$

Note, that (2.19) follows from the eq.(2.21) [16].

In the case $A_{abc} = 0$ the e.m.'s (2.14) - (2.18) and the last equation in (2.4) correspond (after some field redefinitions) to the supergravity of ref.[2]. The incorporation of matter degrees of freedom leads to $A_{abc} = \lambda \Gamma_{abc} \lambda$, where λ is the gluino field. In this case (2.14) - (2.18), and (2.4) correspond to the e.m.'s of gravity sector of the refs. [4] or [5] supergravity.

Now we return to the more general case of anomaly free supergravity. The A_{abc} -tensor may be found in this case from the parallel consideration of $N_{a_1 \dots a_7}$ and H_{abc} BI's.

3. SOLUTION OF GRAVIPHOTON BIANCHI IDENTITIES

Dual Supergravity

The BI's for the 7-form graviphoton field of dual supergravity has the form:

$$D_{[A_1} N_{A_2 \dots A_8)} + \frac{7}{2} T_{[A_1 A_2}{}^Q N_{Q A_3 \dots A_8)} \equiv 0 \quad (3.1)$$

(The summation Q -index is not included in the symmetrization). There is no need to introduce anomaly compensating Chern-Symons terms in (3.1) because the N -field appears to be the Lorentz-covariant in the Green-Schwarz

mechanism. But there is a freedom in the solution of (3.1), that incorporates the anomaly compensating contributions in the dual supergravity.

The following set of constraints provides the solution of BI's (3.1):

$$N_{\alpha\beta a_1 \dots a_5} = -(\Gamma_{a_1 \dots a_5})_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$N_{abc} = T_{abc}, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$N_{abc} \equiv \frac{1}{7!} \epsilon_{abc}{}^{b_1 \dots b_7} N_{b_1 \dots b_7} \quad (3.4)$$

All other components of the N -field are equal to zero.

This solution is completely consistent with torsion BI's in the sec.2. It is important, that no additional restrictions on the choice of the A_{abc} - field follow from (3.1). It is still arbitrary except for the constraints (2.19) - (2.21). Due to the condition (3.3), all the e.m.'s obtained in the sec.2 become the equations of dual (type IB) supergravity. The explicit form of the A -field that includes all (anomaly compensating) superstring corrections may be found from BI's of the usual (type IA) supergravity.

Usual Supergravity

The graviphoton BI's of usual anomaly free supergravity has the form:

$$D_{[A} H_{BCD)} + \frac{3}{2} T_{[AB}^Q H_{QCD)} - \frac{3}{2} \gamma R_{[AB}{}^{ef} R_{CD)ef} = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

(The summation Q -index is not included in the symmetrization). The term, proportional to γ in (3.5) stems from the Lorentz Chern-Symons form ω_L in the definition of Lorentz-covariant 3-form graviphoton field H : $H = dB - \gamma\omega_L$, where B is the 2-form graviphoton potential.

Our analysis of BI's (3.5) follows closely to the approach of [18], but it is more simple in the parametrization of sec.2. The self-consistent solution of (3.1) has the form:

$$H_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0, \quad (3.6)$$

$$H_{\alpha\beta c} = \phi (\Gamma_c)_{\alpha\beta} + \gamma W_{\alpha\beta c}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$H_{abc} = -(\Gamma_{bc}\chi)_\alpha + \gamma W_{abc}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$H_{abc} = -\phi T_{abc} + \gamma W_{abc} \quad (3.9)$$

Furthemore, the equation for the A_{abc} - field follows from (3.5):

$$A_{abc} = \gamma L_{abc} \quad (3.10)$$

The functions W and L appear due to the contribution of the RR term in the BI (3.5). We present the necessary explicit expressions for them.

The $W_{\alpha\beta c}$ is found from the (0,4) sector of (3.5). (The (p,q) sector is defined as the equation with p bosonic and q fermionic external indices). We get:

$$W_a = X_{ab}\Gamma^b + X_{a,b_1\dots b_5}\Gamma^{b_1\dots b_5} \quad (3.11)$$

where

$$X_{ab} = \frac{7}{9}(T^2)_{ab} \quad (3.12)$$

$$X_{a,b_1\dots b_5} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot 5!}(\delta_{b_1\dots b_5}^{[c_1\dots c_5]} + \frac{1}{5!}\epsilon_{b_1\dots b_5}{}^{c_1\dots c_5})(G_{a,c_1\dots c_5} + \Theta_{ac_1\dots c_5}) \quad (3.13)$$

The G -tensor is the 1050 IR of O(1.9) ($G^a{}_{,ac_2\dots c_5} = 0$):

$$G_{a,c_1\dots c_5} = \frac{40}{9}(T_{a[c_1c_2}T_{c_3c_4c_5]} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{a[c_1}T_{c_2\dots c_5]}^2) \quad (3.14)$$

The Θ is a totally antisymmetric tensor (210 IR of O(1.9)). It is not fixed at the (0,4) level of BI.

At the (1,3) level we get the $W_{\beta ab}$ in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{ab} = & -(\delta_{[ab]}^{cd} + \frac{1}{12}\eta^{cd}\Gamma_{ab} + \frac{1}{6}\Gamma_{[a}{}^{(c}\delta_{b]}^d)(DX_{cd} + DX_{c,de_1\dots e_4}\Gamma^{e_1\dots e_4} + \\ & + \frac{5}{3}R_{cij}T_d{}^{ij} + \frac{1}{18}R_{c[dm}T_{ijk]}\Gamma^{mijk}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

At the same (1,3) level we get the restriction on the $D\Theta_{abcd}$ in the form of the projection to the 1440 IR of O(1.9):

$$(D\Theta_{abcd} - \frac{4}{3}L_{[a}T_{bcd]})^{(1440)} = 0 \quad (3.16)$$

where

$$\Theta_{abcd} \equiv \frac{1}{6!} \epsilon_{abcd}^{a_1 \dots a_6} \Theta_{a_1 \dots a_6} \quad (3.17)$$

One may find the general solution of this equation. The result is:

$$\Theta_{abcd} = -\frac{4}{9} (D_{[a} T_{bcd]} + 2 T_{[abcd]}^2) \quad (3.18)$$

Now we come to the (2,2) level. There are two different projections of (3.1) at this level to the 120 IR of $O(1,9)$. The first one produces the relation (3.9), where W_{abc} is a complicated tensor, depending on the torsion components and their derivatives. The explicit form of W_{abc} is not interesting for us here.

The second projection leads to the eq.(3.10), where L_{abc} is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{abc} = & -12 \cdot 16 D^j \Theta_{jabc} - 96 T_{[a}^{ij} \Theta_{bc]ij} + 2 D \Gamma^{mn}_{abc} W_{mn} + \\ & + 32 \cdot 160 T^{ijm} X_{m,ijabc} + 128 T_{[abc} X_{n]}^n + \frac{320}{3} R^{mn}_{[mn} T_{abc]} + \\ & + 2 R_m^{ij} \Gamma^{mn}_{abc} R_{nij} + \frac{8}{9} (R \epsilon T)_{abc}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

where $(R \epsilon T)_{abc} = R_{mnpq} \epsilon_{abc}^{mnpqijk} T_{ijk}$.

All the spinorial derivatives in (3.19) may be calculated explicitly using the formulas of sec.2. Equation (3.10) corresponds to the eq.(4.7) from [8]. Equation (3.19) corresponds to the eq.(18) from [18]. The validity of the condition (2.21) is guaranteed by the main theorem of the ref.[8] and may be checked by direct (complicated) calculations. The validity of (2.19) follows from (2.21) [16]. We were not able now to check the validity of (2.20) because very long calculations are required for this check. But we may expect from general grounds that this equation is also valid because it is guaranteed by the (supposed) self-consistency of the superspace description of the usual anomaly free supergravity .

4. CONCLUSION AND MAIN RESULTS

Note, that $A_{abc} = 0$ due to the eq. (3.10) if $\gamma = 0$. So, the A_{abc} -field is connected in this approach only with the contribution of anomaly compensating terms. One may easily extract from (3.19) some special terms considered before (see [11]-[13]), which are explicitly connected with compensation of anomalies. (They are related with generic terms $\sim \gamma R^2$ and

$\sim \gamma N \Omega$ in the lagrangian, where $d\Omega = R^2$). The complete analysis of (3.13) will be presented in the subsequent publication.

It is important, that A_{abc} is defined by the eqs.(3.10), (3.19) quite independently on the H_{abc} - field, so (3.10) may be used as an ansatz for the A -field in the equations of sec.2 independently on the subsequent choice (IA or IB) of the supergravity type.

If we add (3.10) to the e.m.'s of sec.2 and change everywhere T_{abc} to N_{abc} according to the eq.(3.3), we obtain the description of the dual supergravity including (anomaly compensating) superstring corrections. So, the equations (2.4), (2.14)-(2.18) together with (3.3) and (3.10), (3.19) provide the mass shell explicit partial solution of the problem of supersymmetrization in the dual supergravity including anomaly compensating terms.

The realization of the similar program in the usual supergravity requires the expression of the T_{abc} -field in terms of H_{abc} . For this purpose one must solve the highly nonlinear differential equation (3.9). It is possible to get only perturbative solution in the form of infinite series in powers of γ -parameter. It is rather difficult to calculate even the first term of this series (cf. [18]).

In the framework of the discussed approach one can not calculate all possible superstring corrections. Even the corrections needed for compensation of anomalies were not taken into account in the full scale. Indeed, the N -Bianchi Identities (3.1) were not modified in accordance with the general procedure [11], [19]. It means that only tree-level superstring corrections are taken into account, namely the corrections where scale invariances [11], [20], specific for the tree-level, are not broken. In addition, one-loop anomaly compensating counter-terms, which are not related with the structure of BI's, are out of the scope if they break the scale invariance. The same approximation is typical for the most papers on this subject. (Note, that there are some reasons [14] to consider string-loop effects mentioned above as very small numerically).

The author is thankful to S.Gates for information on the H.Nishino paper [17].

References

- [1] S.Gates Jr., H.Nishino, Phys. Lett. **B 173** (1986) 46.

- [2] A.Chamseddine, Nucl. Phys. **B 185** (1981) 403,
Phys. Rev. **D 24** (1981) 3065.
- [3] E.Bergshoeff, M. de Roo, B.de Witt, P.Van Nieuwenhuizen, Nucl.
Phys. **B 195** (1982) 97.
- [4] E.Chapline, N.Manton, Phys. Lett. **B 120** (1983) 105.
- [5] S.Gates Jr., H.Nishino, Phys. Lett. **B 157** (1985) 157.
- [6] M.Green, J.Schwarz, Phys. Lett. **B 149** (1984) 117.
- [7] R.D'Auria, P.Fre, M.Raciti, F.Riva, Intern. J. Mod. Phys. **A 3** (1988)
953.
- [8] L.Bonora, M.Bregola, K.Lechner, P.Pasti, M.Tonin, Nucl. Phys. **B**
296 (1988) 877.
- [9] L.Bonora, M.Bregola, R.D'Auria, P.Fre, K.Lechner, P.Pasti, I.Pesando,
M.Raciti, F.Riva, M.Tonin, D.Zanon, Phys. Lett. **B 277** (1992) 306.
- [10] E.Bergshoeff, M.de Roo, Phys. Lett. **B 249** (1990) 27.
- [11] S.Gates Jr., H.Nishino, Phys. Lett. **B 173** (1986) 52.
- [12] E.Bergshoeff, M.de Roo, Phys. Lett. **B 247** (1990) 530.
- [13] M.V.Terentjev, Sov. Journ. of Nucl. Phys. **55** (1992) 1246.
- [14] M.V.Terentjev, Intern. J. Mod. Phys. (1993) (in press), Preprint ITEP,
95-92, 1992.
- [15] R.D'Auria, P.Fre, Mod.Phys.Let. **A 3** (1988) 673.
- [16] M.V.Terentjev, Phys. Lett. **B 313** (1993) 351.
- [17] H.Nishino, Phys. Lett. **B 258** (1991) 104.
- [18] M.Raciti, F.Riva, D.Zanon, Phys. Lett. **B 227** (1989) 118.
- [19] A.Salam, E.Sezgin, Physica Scripta, **32** (1985) 283.
- [20] E.Witten, Phys. Lett. **B 155** (1985) 151.